

## Chapter 33

# *GlobalSoilMap.net* – A New Digital Soil Map of the World

Alfred E. Hartemink, Jon Hempel, Philippe Lagacherie, Alex McBratney, Neil McKenzie, Robert A. MacMillan, Budiman Minasny, Luca Montanarella, Maria de Lourdes Mendonça Santos, Pedro Sanchez, Markus Walsh, and Gan-Lin Zhang

**Abstract** Knowledge of the world soil resources is fragmented and dated. There is a need for accurate, up-to-date and spatially referenced soil information as frequently expressed by the modelling community, farmers and land users, and policy and decision makers. This need coincides with an enormous leap in technologies that allow for accurately collecting and predicting soil properties. We work on a new digital soil map of the world using state-of-the-art and emerging technologies for soil mapping and predicting soil properties. The global land surface will be mapped in 5 years and the map consists of the primary functional soil properties at a grid resolution of 90 by 90 m. It will be freely available, web-accessible and widely distributed and used. The maps will be produced by a global consortium with centres in each of the continents: NRCS for North America, Embrapa for Latin America, JRC for Europe, TSBF-CIAT for Africa, ISSAS for parts of Asia and CSIRO for Oceania. This new global soil map will be supplemented by interpretation and functionality options that aim to assist better decisions in a range of global issues like food production and hunger eradication, climate change, and environmental degradation. In November 2008, a grant has of US\$ 18 million has been obtained from the Bill & Melinda Gates foundation to map most parts in Sub-Sahara Africa, and make all Sub-Saharan Africa data available. From this grant there are funds for coordinating efforts in the global consortium.

**Keywords** Soil map · Global consortium · Soil information system

---

A.E. Hartemink (✉)  
ISRIC – World Soil Information, Wageningen, The Netherlands  
e-mail: alfred.hartemink@wur.nl

### 33.1 Introduction

There is no comprehensive, digital map of the world's soils. This lack of easy-to-use information results in adverse or insufficient policies at the district, national, and continental levels that affect food production and result in unnecessary land degradation and increased greenhouse gas emissions (McBratney et al., 2003). Organisations involved in research at the global scale need accurate and geo-referenced soil information to assess and/or predict the environmental impact of different land-use scenarios. A digital fine-resolution global soil map would enable climatologists, hydrologists, crop modelers, foresters and agricultural scientists, among others, to better predict the effects of climate change or new technologies on food production and environmental health. In some instances, crop failure due to drought or excessive wetness could be identified in early-warning systems in ways that are relevant to policymakers.

Within the last decade, we have witnessed remarkable progress in Earth observation techniques and prediction of soil properties from data generated by remote and on-the-ground sensors (e.g. Ben-Dor et al., 2006; Gomez et al., 2008; Hengl et al., 2007). At the same time, statistical techniques have been developed that allow for prediction of soil properties in areas with little or no information (See Chapter 12) as well as indicating the uncertainty of such predictions (see for example Chapter 19). Soil spectral analysis is becoming a robust and cheap tool for identifying soil functional attributes (Shepherd and Walsh, 2002; Vasques et al., 2008; and Chapter 7). The digital revolution that has transformed many of the sciences is waiting to be applied to create global soil maps (Sanchez et al., 2009).

### 33.2 The Existing Soil Maps

Soils have been mapped by systematic field observations complimented with laboratory analysis and analogue cartographic methods in many countries but in less detail in poorer countries (Hartemink, 2008). Most soil maps are polygon maps showing the characteristics of *soil types*. These maps have a long history of being useful for generalized land use planning and management. However, they have some major drawbacks:

- They are static. The maps do not provide direct information on the dynamics of soil condition (e.g. rates of nutrient depletion) whereas such information is of great interest to farmers and policymakers.
- They are inflexible for quantitative studies. Such studies (e.g. food production, erosion hazard, carbon balance) generally require information on the *functional* properties of a soil (e.g. available water capacity, permeability, nutrient supply) rather than a soil name (e.g. a polygon labeled “Kikuyu red loam” tells very little about how much nitrogen it can supply to a maize crop for the following season).
- Information is lost. The traditional map and report presents a highly summarized account of the soils of a region. The loss occurs because the reporting format requires information to be condensed and data to be classified

- The information is often presented at a scale that is seldom useful for the particular question.
- The data model implicit in polygon maps is difficult to integrate with most other forms of natural resource data that are grid based (e.g. satellite imagery, digital elevation models, climate data).

Polygon mapping was a sensible solution in the pre-digital era but digital methods allow for completely new ways of acquiring, disseminating and using soil information. Online geographic information systems, in particular, allow us to dramatically improve access to information on the functional properties of soils (McKenzie and Austin, 1993).

The current state of affairs is dire. There is one global soil map at a scale of 1:5 million (FAO UNESCO) that was produced between 1971 and 1981 (Dudal and Batisse, 1978). Based on soil surveys conducted between the 1930s and the 1970s, these class maps show the distribution of soil types using FAO terminology. Inevitably, the information behind the map is uneven and little-to-no information was available from several important regions. The FAO-UNESCO maps were digitized in vector format in 1984. In the mid 1990s, a 9-km raster version was produced by FAO, but with no improvement in the content. A digitized map does not constitute a digital map. However, these maps are an important data layer in digital soil mapping (see for example the Chapters 16 and 24).

### 33.3 Objectives of *GlobalSoilMap.net*

*GlobalSoilMap.net* is being developed to provide primary soil data in a form that will meet the demands of a broad range of users including governments, natural resource managers, educational institutions, planners, researchers and agriculturalists. The online system will provide access to the best available soil and land resource information in a consistent format across the globe – the level of detail and reliability will depend on the survey coverage and field data available in each region.

The *GlobalSoilMap.net* project has two principal objectives:

- Objective 1: To compile the digital soil properties map
- Objective 2: To provide a soil information system to the global scientific community that can be used for modelling and evaluation studies and that will aid in improved policy making at all levels of governance.

The process will also enable scientists, particularly from all parts of the world to exchange information and benefit from the rapid changes in technology.

A global consortium has been formed with coordinating institutes and centres in each continent (Fig. 33.1). The methodology for the global digital soil map is being developed (Chapter 34).




Fig. 33.1 Coordinating and supporting institutes of *GlobalSoilMap.net*

### 33.4 Some Outputs of *GlobalSoilMap.net*

*GlobalSoilMap.net* will provide access to fine grain data on a consistent set of soil functional properties that define soil depth, water storage, permeability, fertility, and carbon. Users will be able access and apply *GlobalSoilMap.net* in a variety of ways to suit their purposes. They may want to simply view and manipulate the data online (e.g. compare the soil patterns with satellite imagery or maps of land use). They may then compose and print local maps by combining several sources of online data (e.g. soil, climate, terrain and infrastructure). More sophisticated users may have portable computers with online geographic information systems that give field investigators access to useful information for their work. High-end users may take the outputs from *GlobalSoilMap.net* and supply them as inputs to sophisticated computer models for estimating food production or carbon dynamics.

*GlobalSoilMap.net* will provide users with an estimate of the uncertainty of each attribute for each grid cell. In the longer term, new sources of data will feed automatically into *GlobalSoilMap.net* and the uncertainties for attributes will decrease. While the information on uncertainty provides useful qualitative advice to a user, the real benefit will be for scientists, engineers and planners who need to translate their analyses of food security, impacts of climate change and so forth, into assessments of risk for decision makers. The final results may be expressed in a relatively simple form (e.g. the farming districts of Region A will fail to produce sufficient grain for local communities in 1 year out of 5) but the underlying computations will have been sophisticated.

Worldwide, millions of decisions are made every day on how to use soil and land. These range from specific on-site judgments with immediate actions, like for example, a farmer deciding to fertilize a field, or a forester establishing plantations for firewood – through decisions by governments on policy (e.g. carbon trading)

that may affect every part of their jurisdictions. Whatever the context, information is needed for sound decisions. Decisions and policies made in the absence of such information lead to inefficient use of resources and environmental degradation. *GlobalSoilMap.net* will help us assess what resources we have, their quality, and how to manage it to produce food and fiber, to secure water supplies and to conserve valuable assets (e.g. biodiversity within the soil).

## References

- Ben-Dor, E. et al., 2006. Quantitative mapping of the soil rubification process on sand dunes using an airborne hyperspectral sensor. *Geoderma* 131:1–21.
- Dudal, R., and Batisse, M., 1978. The soil map of the world. *Nature and Resources* 14:2–6.
- Gomez, C., Rossel, R.A.V., and McBratney, A.B., 2008. Soil organic carbon prediction by hyperspectral remote sensing and field vis-NIR spectroscopy: An Australian case study. *Geoderma* 146:403–411.
- Hartemink, A.E., 2008. Soil map density and nation's wealth and income, pp. 53–66. In: Hartemink, A.E., McBratney, A.B., and Mendonca-Santos, M.L. (eds.), *Digital Soil Mapping with Limited Data*. Springer, Dordrecht.
- Hengl, T., Toomanian, N., Reuter, H.I., and Malakouti, M.J., 2007. Methods to interpolate soil categorical variables from profile observations: Lessons from Iran. *Geoderma* 140:417–427.
- McBratney, A.B., Santos, M.L.M., and Minasny, B., 2003. On digital soil mapping. *Geoderma* 117:3–52.
- McKenzie, N.J., and Austin, M.P., 1993. A Quantitative Australian approach to medium and small scale surveys based on soil stratigraphy and environmental correlation. *Geoderma* 57:329–355.
- Sanchez, P.A., Ahamed, S., Carre, F., Hartemink, A.E., Hempel, J., Huising, J., Lagacherie, P., McBratney, A.B., McKenzie, N.J., Mendonca-Santos, M.D., Minasny, B., Montanarella, L., Okoth, P., Palm, C.A., Sachs, J.D., Shepherd, K.D., Vagen, T.G., Vanlauwe, B., Walsh, M.G., Winowiecki, L.A., and Zhang, G.L., 2009. Digital soil map of the world. *Science* 325:680–681.
- Shepherd, K.D., and Walsh, M.G., 2002. Development of reflectance spectral libraries for characterization of soil properties. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 66:988–998.
- Vasques, G.M., Grunwald, S., and Sickman, J.O., 2008. Comparison of multivariate methods for inferential modeling of soil carbon using visible/near-infrared spectra. *Geoderma* 146:14–25.